

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT K. LEUNG, CHARLES C.  
CHAN, SEVERO N. FLORES, CONSTANTINE  
J. ZACHOS, ANGELO J. SPAGNOLI,  
JOSEPHINE N. BORGES, CLODAGH COLES,

No. C 06-06042 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
HEATHER FONG, and DOES 1 through 10,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

**REMINDER NOTICE OF  
UPCOMING TRIAL  
AND FINAL PRETRIAL  
CONFERENCE**

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on **JANUARY 14, 2008**, at **2:00 P.M.**, with a **JURY TRIAL** on **JANUARY 28, 2008**. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of

1 dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is  
2 received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been  
3 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed  
4 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a  
5 fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire  
6 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial  
7 act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure  
8 pending a formal dismissal.


9 In order to evaluate whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance, please file a  
10 joint report within fourteen days of service of this order describing the progress and status of  
11 your ADR efforts to date and any further ADR recommendations by the parties.

12 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and  
13 desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to  
14 use them:

- 15 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 16 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 17 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, *e.g.*, Rule 39  
18 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 19 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to  
20 be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and  
21 closing argument).

22 Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference  
23 submissions.

24  
25  
26 Dated: November 5, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE